

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/728,417	Applicant(s) GORTON ET AL.	
	Examiner Jyoti Chawla	Art Unit 1761	

All Participants:

(1) Jyoti Chawla.

(2) John R Fantry.

Status of Application: Abandoned

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 August 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:


Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

A telephone call was placed concerning the lack of action in regards to the application and was informed by applicant's representative that the client does not wish to file a response.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


KEITH HENDRICKS
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)